

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,064	04/23/2004	Tetsuro Motoyama	252122US-2 CONT	8744
OBLON SPIN	7590 08/05/200 VAK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			DAILEY, THOMAS J	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	EL ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/830,064	MOTOYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
THOMAS J. DAILEY	2152		

	THOMAS J. DAILEY	2152					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to reply within the act or extended period for reply will by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment, See 37 CFR 1.794(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma	<u>ay 2008</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 31-47 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 31-47 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
	olosion oqui onioni						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	+(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>							
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ol>	•	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attach mont(a)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(BTO 412)					
Notice of Profesoreon's Potent Proving Poving (PTO 949)	Paper No(s)Mail Da						

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/2008.

- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,064 Page 2

Art Unit: 2146

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 47 was added by the amendment filed on May 2, 2008.

2. Claims 31-47 are pending.

### Response to Arguments

- The U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejections directed at claims 43-45 have been withdrawn in view of the applicant's entered amendments.
- Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejection of claims 31-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikil in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 31-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al (US Pat. 6,151,643), hereafter "Cheng," in view of Elg (US Pat. 6.694.354).

Application/Control Number: 10/830,064 Art Unit: 2146

7. As to claim 31, Cheng discloses a method of updating a first version of a device driver installed on a computer of a user the method comprising:

receiving, from an office device to which the computer is communicatively coupled, version information of a newest version of the device driver that is stored in a memory of the office device (column 3, lines 25-39, user computer receives from service provider computer system (office device) information regarding available updates);

determining, based on the received version information, whether the first version of the device driver installed on the computer is different from the newest version of the device driver stored in said memory (column 3, lines 32-39);

if the determining step determines that the first version is different from the newest version, inquiring whether the user wants to update the device driver on the computer with the newest version of the device driver (column 3, lines 38-42, user identifies updates to be made; column 5, lines 28-33 also indicates a method of user approval before the software update); and

if the inquiring step determines that the user wants to update the device driver, obtaining the newest version of the device driver from the office device (column 3, lines 41-45).

But, Cheng does not explicitly disclose wherein both the first version and the newest version of the device driver are configured to control operations of the office device.

However, Elg discloses receiving, from an office device to which a computer is communicatively coupled, version information of a newest device driver that is stored in a memory of the office device, wherein the device driver is configured to control operations of the office device (column 5, lines 16-27, peripheral device reads on office device, i.e. the peripheral device stores its own device drivers and related information, and the device drivers are installed on the host computer if necessary).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Cheng and Elg in order to allow a peripheral device to connect to a host computer and not require the host computer to externally search for appropriate drivers.

- As to claims 36, 41 and 46, they are rejected by the same rationale set forth in claim 31's rejection.
- 9. As to claims 32, 37, and 42, Cheng discloses:

displaying, on a display associated with the computer, a message regarding whether the user wants to update the device driver (column 5, lines 24-32, display device is inherent as the user must read the email in regards to an available update, and the approval of the update); and

Application/Control Number: 10/830,064

Art Unit: 2146

receiving a response from the user, said response indicating whether the user wants to update the device driver with the newest version (column 5, lines 30-32).

Page 5

10. As to claims 33, 38, and 43, Cheng discloses:

establishing a connection to the office device (column 3, lines 41-45); receiving, from the office device, an installation file for the newest version of the device driver (column 3, lines 41-45 and column 10, lines 33-54, the URL is the installation file for the newest version of the device driver); and storing said installation file in a temporary storage area associated with the computer (column 10, lines 33-54, client computer retrieve URL and must store it in order to access).

- 11. As to claims 34, 39, and 44, Cheng discloses executing the stored installation file to install the newest version of the device driver on the computer (column 10, lines 33-54, installation of software update via execution of URL).
- 12. As to claims 35, 40, and 45, Cheng discloses generating commands and associated data for controlling said office device, prior to said receiving step (column 3, lines 25-29).

Application/Control Number: 10/830,064 Page 6

Art Unit: 2146

13.As to claim 47, Elg discloses the office device is a printer and the device driver is configured to control operations of the printer (column 2, lines 60-65).

#### Conclusion

- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 15.A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Dailey whose telephone number is 571-270-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday; 9:00am 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,064 Page 7

Art Unit: 2146

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. J. D./

Examiner, Art Unit 2152

/Jeffrey Pwu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2146